

Effects of $\epsilon 4$ on Object Recognition in the Non-Demented Elderly

Gwendolen E. Haley¹, Frederique Berteau-Pavy¹, Byung Park² and Jacob Raber^{1,3,4,*}

¹Department of Behavioral Neuroscience, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon 97239, USA;

²Division of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health and Preventative Medicine, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR 97239, USA; ³Department of Neurology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR 97239, USA; ⁴Division of Neuroscience, ONPRC, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland OR 97239, USA

Abstract: Previously we reported that Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) $\epsilon 4$ negatively affects performance in the novel-image-novel-location (NINL) object recognition test in healthy non-demented elderly human study participants. In this study, the participants were invited to return for testing sessions 6 and 18 months after the baseline session. Using a longitudinal study design, effects of $\epsilon 4$ on NINL test performance were assessed in study “dropouts”, participants that did not return for the second and/or third session(s), and “finishers”, participants that returned for all sessions. There were effects of $\epsilon 4$ on dropout rates and NINL total scores as well as sub-scores in both dropouts and finishers. NINL total score was a predictor of $\epsilon 4$ participant dropout. Compared to non- $\epsilon 4$ dropouts, $\epsilon 4$ dropouts had lower NINL scores. In contrast, $\epsilon 4$ finishers had higher NINL scores than non- $\epsilon 4$ finishers. Thus, the NINL test could be a valuable tool in detecting pre-clinical signs of age-related cognitive impairments, particularly those associated with $\epsilon 4$ risk.

Keywords: ApoE, object recognition, aging, cortisol, testosterone, humans.

INTRODUCTION

Apolipoprotein E (apoE) is involved in metabolism and redistribution of cholesterol and lipoproteins [1]. In humans, three different alleles encode apoE, $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$. Compared to $\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 4$ increases the risk to develop age-related cognitive impairments in the absence of frank dementia [2-6] as well as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [7-11]. Longitudinal studies confirm the effect of $\epsilon 4$ on cognitive decline in normal aging [12-14] as well as on the progression to AD [15-19]. Early detection of age-related cognitive decline would provide the best chance of delaying Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) and AD. Therefore, a non-invasive test sensitive to the effects of $\epsilon 4$ could be useful to identify pre-clinical cognitive decline.

Loss of episodic memory, memories based on experiences in specific space and time, occurs during normal aging [20] but is also one of the first signs of AD [21-31]. Object recognition tasks are used to test episodic memory in animals and humans [32]. Novel location recognition, involving a spatial change of a familiar object to a novel location, is sensitive to effects of age in C57BL6/J wild type female and male mice [33] and effects of castration in male mice expressing apoE4, but not in male mice expressing apoE3 or no apoE at all [34]. Furthermore, female mice expressing apoE4 show impaired novel object recognition, involving replacement of a familiar object by a novel object [34, 35]. Based on the objection recognition test used in mice, a human object recognition test (Novel-Image-Novel-Location (NINL)) [36] was developed and shown to be sensitive to effects of $\epsilon 4$ on object recognition in healthy non-demented elderly [6]. Object recognition tests such as NINL might help

to identify early cognitive impairment before clinical signs are present.

Reduced cognitive performance and hippocampal size are associated with alterations in circulating glucocorticoid levels. High cortisol levels are suggested to have a negative effect on cognitive performance in men and women [37-40]. In men and women, elevated cortisol levels correlate with a decrease in hippocampal size and poor performance during recall of spatial learning and memory [41]. The correlation between enhanced glucocorticoid levels and cognitive performance might be more complex and sex-dependent. Salivary cortisol levels correlated with performance on the NINL test in men but not in women [6]. The sex dependency of this correlation might be species-dependent. In female rodents, high levels of glucocorticoids were associated with decreased object recognition and spatial learning and memory [42, 43].

In contrast to cortisol, high testosterone levels are associated with improved performance on cognitive tests in women [44-46] and men [47-50]. In the elderly, $\epsilon 4$ was shown to have a sex-dependent effect on salivary testosterone levels; compared to sex-matched non- $\epsilon 4$ carriers, salivary testosterone levels were higher in $\epsilon 4$ -carrying men but lower in $\epsilon 4$ -carrying women [6]. Consistent with the idea that increasing androgen levels improves cognitive performance in females, testosterone and dihydrotestosterone [35] and selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs) [51] antagonized impairment of spatial memory retention in female mice expressing apoE4.

In the current investigation, the participants of our original study [6] were invited to return for testing sessions 6 and 18 months after the baseline session. Using a longitudinal study design, effects of $\epsilon 4$ on NINL test performance were assessed in study “dropouts”, participants that did not return for the second and/or third session(s), and “finishers”,

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Behavioral Neuroscience at OHSU, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Pkwy, Mail Code L470, Portland, OR 97239, USA; Tel: (503)494-1524; Fax: (503)494-6877; E-mail: raberj@ohsu.edu

participants that returned for all three sessions. As previous reports suggest that many different factors can predict cognitive decline, sex, age, $\epsilon 4$ status, cognitive test scores, cortisol, and testosterone were analyzed as potential predictors of dropout.

METHODS

Subjects

Study participants, including inclusion/exclusion criteria, recruiting, and description of individuals were previously described in detail [6]. In brief, 114 non-demented elderly (age range 62-92) were recruited from two adjacent local retirement communities in Portland, Oregon, USA. All study participants were given a presentation of the planned research and provided informed consent for participation before the start of the baseline session. All procedures were approved by the institutional review boards at Oregon Health and Science University (OHSU) and the Willamette View and Rose Villa retirement communities. At the start of the baseline and 18 month sessions, cognitive status was assessed using the MMSE (Mini-Mental State Examination) [52].

APOE Genotyping

APOE genotyping was performed at the General Clinical Research Center of OHSU as previously described in detail [6]. DNA for the assay was isolated from salivary samples and compared to known controls for each *APOE* genotype. The distribution of the $\epsilon 4$ allele in the study population was $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 2$ (0), $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3$ (13 women, 2 men), $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$ (1 women, 0 men), $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$ (50 women, 23 men), $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ (18 women, 5 men), and $\epsilon 4/\epsilon 4$ (2 women, 0 men).

Study Design

All the neuropsychological testing was performed in a designated apartment of the Willamette View retirement community in Portland, Oregon. Three sessions, the initial session (baseline) and the 6 and 18 months follow-up sessions, each lasting 1-2 hours, were conducted in the morning starting at 8:30 am. Each session began with collection of a saliva sample. Subsequently, the MMSE was administered in the baseline and 18 month sessions. Following the MMSE in the baseline session, the following standardized cognitive tests were administered: Reaction Time (http://www.delphiforfun.org/Programs/Reaction_times.htm)^{© 2000-2004, Intellitech Systems Inc., Fairborn, OH, USA}, Family Pictures [53], and Faces [53]. In the 6-month follow-up session, the Beck Anxiety Inventory [54], Spatial Span Forward and Spatial Span Backward [53], and Wide Range Achievement Test-Reading [55] were administered first. Each session began with a saliva sample, followed by the standardized cognitive tests and the NINL test [6, 36], using a 5 minute test-retest interval.

Object Recognition

The NINL test for humans has been previously described [36]. In short, there are two sets of 12 panels, three images in each panel. The two sets of three-image panels are similar in

complexity, but different in content and arrangement within the four quadrants of the panel with one quadrant always blank. Before the test, the study participants were given an example of the test. Subsequently, a set of 12 panels was presented, 8 seconds for each panel, and the study participant was asked to memorize the panels (reference set). The second set contained panels either identical or slightly changed compared to the reference set by containing one novel image or one image in a novel location. Next, the second set of 12 panels was presented without delay, and the study participants were asked to identify if the panel was identical (no change), or contained a novel image (novel image) or an identical image in a novel location (novel location). In the second set of 12 panels, four panels were identical to the reference set, four panels had identical images with one image moved to a novel location, and four panels had one image replaced with a novel image. For each correctly identified panel, 1 point could be earned. In order to earn each point, the participant had to correctly identify if there was a change, and, in case there was a change, the type of change (novel image or novel location), and where the change occurred. The score obtained for the 12 panels was calculated as the first of two NINL scores (NINL1; maximum of 12 points). Following a 5 minute delay period, without seeing the reference set again, the study participants were presented with a third set of 12 panels and asked to identify the panels according to the same criteria as in NINL1 based on the reference set (NINL2; maximum of 12 points). The third set was identical to the second set but the order of the panels was rearranged. The two scores (NINL1 and NINL2) were added to calculate the NINL total score.

Also, subscores with a maximum of 8 points for no change (NC), novel image (NI), and novel location (NL), were analyzed for each session (4 points maximum for the immediate and delayed set, both sets were added together for calculation of the total subscore). The NC subscore reflects the ability to correctly identify whether the panels shown were identical to the panels from the reference set. The NI and NL subscores reflect the ability of the subject to identify the exact novel image or novel location, respectively. Total NINL, NC, NI, and NL scores were analyzed for each session.

Memory Island

As described earlier [6, 36], Memory Island is a virtual reality task assessing spatial learning and memory in humans. Briefly, participants were placed in front of a 19-inch computer monitor (Dell, USA) with a stereo speaker and subwoofer (Harmon Kardon HK395, Harmon International Industries). Using a joystick (Sidewinder, Microsoft), participants were asked to navigate through the virtual world simulating an island environment of 347 X 287 m² composed of four quadrants containing a unique target item. Computer software determined direction, speed, and time spent in each quadrant. Memory Island was only completed during the baseline session.

As previously reported in [6], the Memory Island task included four visible trials followed by four hidden trials. In the visible trials, participants were asked to navigate to each of the four target items marked with a visible flag. The

hidden trials contained a target item but no visible flag. Location of the target item required formation of a spatial map. The starting orientation was varied throughout the 8 trials but was kept constant for all participants. When the target item was located within 2 minutes, the trial was determined a success. If the target had not been located within 2 minutes, a directional arrow appeared on the screen to guide the participant to the target. For each trial, a time-stamped coordinate file was generated to calculate total distance moved (feet), velocity (feet/second), time to reach the target (latency, seconds), cumulative distance to the target (feet), and percent time spent in each quadrant.

Salivary Hormones

Saliva samples, collected at the beginning of each session, were analyzed for cortisol and testosterone levels. Using commercial kits for each hormone, the General Clinical Research Center at OHSU determined the level of each hormone in the samples. Performance characteristics for the EIA cortisol (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Webster, TX, USA) were as follows: intra-assay precision values of 4.8%, 2.8%, and 1.9% at 0.47, 1.41, and 4.09 $\mu\text{g/dL}$, respectively, and inter-assay precision values of 15.3% and 9.2% for 0.18 and 1.87 $\mu\text{g/dL}$, respectively. Performance characteristics for the testosterone EIA (Salimetrics, State College, PA, USA) were as follows: intra-assay precision values 3.3% and 6.7% for 26.3 and 197.3 pg/ml , respectively and inter-assay precision values 5.1% and 9.6% for 13.1 and 200.7 pg/ml , respectively.

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed using SPSS (version 16.0, SPSS Inc. Chicago IL) and Prism (Graphpad Prism, San Diego, CA) software. Significance was considered at $P < 0.05$.

Dropout

Following an invitation, some participants did not return for the 6- or 18-month follow-up sessions. These participants were defined as “dropouts” whereas participants that completed all three sessions were defined as “finishers”. Dropout rates were analyzed for effects of $\epsilon 4$ status, sex, and age. Multivariate logistic regression was used to explore the association between the two $\epsilon 4$ groups after accounting for the effects of sex and age.

Baseline Data

Previously established cognitive tests were analyzed with a retrospective analysis, separating the dropouts from finishers.

NINL Total Scores and Sub-Scores

To determine if NINL scores changed over time in the study, total NINL scores were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA. The dropouts and finishers of the study were analyzed separately. NINL sub-scores for each session were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVAs. Bonferroni's correction was used to adjust an experimental-wise error rate. Sub-scores were also analyzed separately for dropouts and finishers.

No difference was observed between cognitive performance of study participants that dropped out of the study after the baseline session and those that dropped out after the 6 month follow up session. To include in the analysis study participants who dropped out of the study after the baseline session, the “Last Observation Carried Forward” (LOCF) imputation method [56] was used for cognitive performance of 6 study participants who dropped out after the baseline session.

Memory Island

Total distance moved, velocity, latency, cumulative distance to the target, ability to reach the target location within the trial time (success or failure), and percent time spent in each quadrant were analyzed using one-way ANOVAs. The data were analyzed separately for dropouts and finishers.

Cortisol and Testosterone Levels

Cortisol and testosterone levels were analyzed separately in male and female groups. Cortisol and testosterone levels were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA [salivary hormone level X $\epsilon 4$ status X session (repeated measure)]. Hormone levels of dropouts and finishers of the study were analyzed separately. Due to only 1 male $\epsilon 4$ dropout, cortisol and testosterone levels in $\epsilon 4$ and non- $\epsilon 4$ male dropouts were not analyzed. In the female groups, 3 outliers were removed from the finisher group and 2 outliers were removed from the dropout group because the hormone levels were outside the limits of the assay.

RESULTS

Standardized Cognitive Tests

No difference was observed between dropouts and finishers in the MMSE ($P = 0.8$), Beck Anxiety Inventory ($P = 0.7$), Wide Range Achievement Test-Reading ($P = 0.2$), Spatial Span Forward ($P = 0.08$), Spatial Span Backward ($P = 0.7$), Reaction Time ($P = 0.4$), Family Pictures ($P = 0.14$) and Faces ($P = 0.2$) tests. As previously reported, there was an effect of sex, but not $\epsilon 4$, on Family Pictures [6]. This effect was not seen when dropouts and finishers were analyzed separately. The MMSE scores were not significantly different between non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ finishers over the course of the 18 months testing period ($P = 0.09$). The scores from the standardized tests and NINL are described in Table 1.

Baseline Session

When the data from the baseline session were analyzed excluding the dropouts of the study, there was no effect of $\epsilon 4$. There was no difference between non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ carriers for NINL total scores ($P = 0.3$), No Change (NC) sub-scores ($P = 0.1$), Novel Image (NI) sub-scores ($P = 0.8$), or Novel Location (NL) sub-scores ($P = 0.8$). The NINL scores of study participants who dropped out after the baseline session and the 6-month session were not different ($P = 0.8$).

Predictors of Dropout

Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that $\epsilon 4$ genotype was a significant predictor of study dropout ($\chi^2 =$

Table 1. Cognitive Test Scores of Non- ϵ 4 and ϵ 4 Dropouts and Finishers^a

	non- ϵ 4 Dropouts	ϵ 4 Dropouts	non- ϵ 4 Finishers	ϵ 4 Finishers
MMSE (Baseline)	27.67 \pm 0.20	27.36 \pm 0.53	27.64 \pm 0.17	26.60 \pm 0.41
MMSE (18 month)			27.72 \pm 0.22	28.30 \pm 0.50
BAI ^b	5.00 \pm 1.15	3.00 \pm 0.81	4.01 \pm 0.44	4.67 \pm 1.21
WRAT-R ^b	55.27 \pm 2.89	56.00 \pm 3.09	58.00 \pm 1.00	59.14 \pm 1.76
SSF ^b	6.86 \pm 0.35	6.72 \pm 0.52	6.88 \pm 0.21	7.07 \pm 0.34
SSB ^b	6.73 \pm 0.51	7.27 \pm 0.42	6.58 \pm 0.24	6.53 \pm 0.47
RT	0.41 \pm 0.04	0.39 \pm 0.02	0.38 \pm 0.01	0.41 \pm 0.03
Faces	68.78 \pm 2.13	68.27 \pm 2.27	70.65 \pm 0.82	70.47 \pm 1.81
Family Pictures ^c	67.22 \pm 6.35	50.36 \pm 6.82	72.81 \pm 2.71	45.73 \pm 5.45
Baseline NINL	18.28 \pm 1.25	14.27 \pm 1.28*	18.40 \pm 0.52	18.93 \pm 0.90
6 month NINL	16.60 \pm 1.20	13.38 \pm 1.42*	16.82 \pm 0.56	19.47 \pm 0.98
18 month NINL			16.65 \pm 0.58	19.13 \pm 1.17

^aScores in mean \pm SEM from Mini-Mental State Evaluation (MMSE), Beck Anxiety Index (BAI), Wide Range Achievement Test-Reading (WRAT-R), Spatial Span Forward (SSF), Spatial Span Backward (SSB), Reaction Time (RT), Family Pictures, Faces, and Novel-Image-Novel-Location (NINL) were compared between non- ϵ 4 and ϵ 4 dropouts and finishers. NINL was the only cognitive task that demonstrated a difference in performance between non- ϵ 4 and ϵ 4 dropouts and finishers. ^b indicates 6 missing scores due to participants dropping out before the test was administered. $n = 108$ ^c indicates there was an effect of sex on the test score which was lost using the current analysis. * indicates score of ϵ 4 dropouts was significantly less than score of non- ϵ 4 dropouts, non- ϵ 4 finishers, and ϵ 4 finishers in the baseline session ($P < 0.05$).

30.4, $P = 0.02$; Table 2) after accounting for the effects of age and gender. Compared to non- ϵ 4 carriers, ϵ 4 carriers were 2.7 times more likely not to complete the study when accounted for the effects of age and sex ($P = 0.03$). The 95% confidence interval for the estimated odd ratio was 1.36 to 1. However, neither sex nor age by itself was a predictor of dropout.

Follow-Up Sessions

Total NINL Scores

There was an effect of ϵ 4 on the total NINL score for the dropouts and finishers but in opposite directions. In the dropout group, ϵ 4 had a significant effect on NINL score over the two testing sessions [F (1, 21) = 2.5; $P = 0.04$ (Fig. 1)]. The ϵ 4 dropouts scored lower than the non- ϵ 4 dropouts at both the baseline ($P = 0.04$) and the 6-month ($P = 0.03$) sessions. In contrast, in the finisher group, ϵ 4 had a significant positive effect on NINL score throughout the testing sessions [F (2, 81) = 3.4; $P = 0.03$] (Fig. 1). Although no difference was observed between the non- ϵ 4 finishers and ϵ 4 finishers in the baseline session ($P = 0.7$), during each subsequent session, ϵ 4 finishers had higher NINL total scores compared to non- ϵ 4 finishers (6 months $P = 0.01$; 18 months $P < 0.01$).

In the dropouts, no difference was observed in the change in total NINL score (Δ NINL total scores) between the two sessions regardless of ϵ 4 status ($P = 0.6$; Fig. 2). The NINL total scores of non- ϵ 4 finishers in both the 6- and 18-month sessions were lower than those at baseline. In contrast, the NINL scores of ϵ 4 finishers in the 6- and 18-month sessions

were not different from baseline. In the finisher group, there was a trend of an effect of ϵ 4 on Δ NINL total score between the baseline session and the 6-month session and between the baseline session and the 18-month session but that did not reach significance ($P = 0.056$).

NINL Sub-Scores

The total No Change (NC) sub-score was not different across the sessions between the non- ϵ 4 and ϵ 4 dropouts ($P = 0.2$) or non- ϵ 4 and ϵ 4 finishers ($P = 0.3$; Table 3). In the baseline session, ϵ 4 dropouts had significantly more incorrect 'no change' answers than non- ϵ 4 dropouts and non- ϵ 4 and ϵ 4 finishers ($P = 0.001$; Fig. 3). There was no difference in the Novel Image (NI) sub-score between non- ϵ 4 and ϵ 4 carriers over the sessions in either dropouts ($P = 0.1$) or finishers ($P = 0.4$; Table 3). For the Novel Location (NL) sub-score, non- ϵ 4 dropouts had significantly higher scores than ϵ 4 dropouts [F (1, 22) = 3.6; $P = 0.03$]. The ϵ 4 finishers had significantly higher scores compared to the non- ϵ 4 finishers [F (2, 164) = 3.6; $P = 0.04$ (Fig. 4, Table 3)].

Memory Island

During the visible trials of the Memory Island task, ϵ 4 dropouts navigated closer to the target location (lower cumulative distance to the target) than ϵ 4 finishers, non- ϵ 4 dropouts and non- ϵ 4 finishers ($P = 0.01$). While during the last visible trial ϵ 4 dropouts reached the target in less time (lower latency values) than non- ϵ 4 participants ($P < 0.001$), it was not significantly different from ϵ 4 finishers ($P = 0.058$). None of the significant differences were predictors of study dropout ($P > 0.24$).

Table 2. Distribution of Participants Remaining in the Study Compared to Participants Starting the Study by ε4 Genotype

	Baseline		6 month		18 month	
non-ε4	87	100 % ^a	84/87	97 %	72/87	81 %
ε4	27	100 %	24/27	88 %	16/27	58 %
Total	114	100 %	108/114	95 %	88/114	76 %

^aindicates percentage of participants remaining in the study.

Table 3. NINL Subscores

	non-ε4 Dropouts	ε4 Dropouts	non-ε4 Finishers	ε4 Finishers
NC Subscore				
S1	6.6 ± 0.3	6.3 ± 0.5	6.3 ± 0.5	6.6 ± 0.5
S2	6.3 ± 0.3	5.6 ± 0.4	5.8 ± 0.2	6.4 ± 0.4
S3			5.7 ± 0.2	6.8 ± 0.3
NI Subscore				
S1	5.8 ± 0.7	3.5 ± 1.0	5.8 ± 0.3	6.7 ± 0.3
S2	4.5 ± 0.7	4.0 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 0.3	7.0 ± 0.4
S3			5.2 ± 0.3	6.3 ± 0.7
NL Subscore				
S1	5.7 ± 0.3	4.9 ± 0.8	6.2 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.6
S2	5.7 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 0.9	5.7 ± 0.3	6.4 ± 0.6
S3			5.7 ± 0.3	6.7 ± 0.6




Fig. (1). Total NINL scores for all sessions. In dropouts and finishers, there was a genotype x session interaction. * indicates that a score was significantly lower in the baseline session at $P < 0.05$. $n = 114$.




Fig. (2). Change in total NINL scores of non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ dropouts and finishers (non- $\epsilon 4$ $n = 72$; $\epsilon 4$ $n = 16$) between the baseline and the follow-up sessions. In finishers, there was a genotype \times session interaction. Decreased scores resulted in a negative change value (-). $n = 114$.




Fig. (3). Incorrect 'no change' (NC) responses. In the baseline session, $\epsilon 4$ dropouts had significantly more incorrect 'NC' responses than non- $\epsilon 4$ dropouts, non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ finishers. * indicates significance in the baseline session at $P < 0.05$. $n = 114$.

During the hidden trials, there was no difference in total distance moved, velocity, latency, cumulative distance to the target, success or failure (ability to reach the target location within the trial time), or percentage time spent searching in the target quadrant (containing the platform during the hidden trials) between any of the four groups (non- $\epsilon 4$ dropouts, non- $\epsilon 4$ finishers, $\epsilon 4$ dropouts, and $\epsilon 4$ finishers) in any of the trials ($P > 0.35$).

Salivary Cortisol and Testosterone Levels

There was no difference in salivary cortisol levels between non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ dropouts or non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ finishers across the sessions (men: $P = 0.6$; women: $P = 0.7$). Furthermore, there was no difference in salivary cortisol levels in men and women ($P = 0.5$). In the baseline session, there was no difference in salivary cortisol between dropouts and finishers ($P = 0.3$) and salivary cortisol level was not a predictor of participants dropping out of the study ($P = 0.13$).

However, cortisol levels decreased from the baseline session to the 6-month session in all participants [dropouts: $F(1, 22) = 8.4$, $P = 0.008$; finishers: $F(2, 68) = 6.15$, $P = 0.004$ (Fig. 5)].

Dropouts and finishers in the study were separated into male and female groups for analyses of salivary testosterone levels. In the baseline session, there was an effect of sex ($P < 0.001$) on salivary testosterone levels, but there were no differences in testosterone levels between dropouts or finishers ($P = 0.3$; Fig. 6). In the baseline session, $\epsilon 4$ had an effect on testosterone levels ($P = 0.04$) but testosterone was not a predictor of participants dropping out of the study ($P = 0.17$). Testosterone levels decreased significantly in the finishers [male finishers: $F(2, 70) = 5.342$, $P = 0.006$; female finishers: $F(2, 69) = 6.83$, $P = 0.002$] but not in the dropouts [male dropouts: $P = 0.38$; female dropouts: $P = 0.15$; Fig. 6] and $\epsilon 4$ genotype was not a significant covariate ($P > 0.3$).




Fig. (4). A. Panel A shows the average ‘novel location’ (NL) sub-scores over all three sessions. B. Total novel location (NL) sub-scores in the 3 individual sessions. In dropouts and finishers, there was a genotype x session interaction. *indicates a significantly lower collapsed score over time at $P < 0.05$. $n = 114$.




Fig. (5). Salivary cortisol levels in dropouts and finishers. In dropouts and finishers, there was a significant decrease in hormone levels over the sessions $P < 0.05$. $n = 107$.




Fig. (6). Salivary testosterone levels in dropouts and finishers. In dropouts and finishers, there was a significant decrease in hormone levels over the sessions. $P < 0.05$. $n = 105$.

DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study are that the NINL task and $\epsilon 4$ are predictors of study dropout in a longitudinal study design of non-demented human “super agers”. To the best of our knowledge no study has identified $\epsilon 4$ as a predictor of study dropout. Participants with $\epsilon 4$ were 2.7 times more likely to drop out of the study than non- $\epsilon 4$ participants and $\epsilon 4$ participants with low NINL scores were 1.3 times more likely to drop out of the study than $\epsilon 4$ participants with a high NINL score. Therefore, the NINL test could be a useful tool in the assessment of early cognitive changes that occur prior to those detected in the clinic using traditional cognitive tests.

Analyzing the effect of $\epsilon 4$ on cognitive tests by dropouts and finishers, some of the effects observed in the original study were lost [6], yet, other interesting effects, were detected. In the baseline session, non- $\epsilon 4$ participants scored higher on the NINL test than $\epsilon 4$ participants [6]. Analyzing the data without the participants that dropped out of the study, there was no difference in performance on the NINL task between non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ finishers. However, examination of the data from the baseline session of only the participants that dropped out of the study showed a significant effect of $\epsilon 4$. Therefore, the effect of $\epsilon 4$ observed in the original study was due to the performance of $\epsilon 4$ participants who subsequently would drop out of the study. In the following sessions, $\epsilon 4$ was not an indicator of lower NINL scores. As such, there is a paradoxical effect of $\epsilon 4$ on NINL score, indicating that the NINL task is sensitive to detect cognitive effects of $\epsilon 4$ in healthy non-demented elderly.

Recent studies have demonstrated an effect of $\epsilon 4$ on cognitive performance while others have not. First, a study demonstrated a longitudinal decline in memory, as assessed with the Auditory-Verbal Learning Test (AVLT-LTM), started earlier (before age 60) and showed a greater acceleration in $\epsilon 4$ carriers when compared to non-carriers [57].

These data are consistent with earlier studies of this group showing a more rapid decline in memory in $\epsilon 4$ carriers that was correlated with reduced cerebral metabolism 5-10 years before the onset of cognitive symptoms [58-60]. On the other hand, another study demonstrated that when age and education are added to the analysis, the effect of $\epsilon 4$ disappeared [61]. Another study demonstrated that $\epsilon 4$ did not have an effect on cognitive performance among non-demented 70-year-olds [62]. Although these data seem contradictory, the effect of $\epsilon 4$ might require more specific tests. In our study, instead of using years of formal education, we used WRAT-R as a measure of general intelligence because years of formal education might not be a direct indicator of cognitive abilities due to generational expectations, especially in this age group. Using the WRAT-R as a measure of general cognitive function did not yield significant differences between $\epsilon 4$ and non- $\epsilon 4$ participants. Similar to the results from the Luciano study [62], we found no difference in the performance on a battery of traditional cognitive tests based on $\epsilon 4$ status and dropout/finisher group controlling for age and gender. Taking this information into consideration, we propose that the NINL is a unique test and more sensitive than other traditional cognitive tasks and can be used to detect effects of $\epsilon 4$ on cognition.

There was a significant difference in the change in NINL score between baseline and the 6 or 18 month in non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ finishers. In fact, NINL scores of $\epsilon 4$ finishers did not significantly change, suggesting a protective effect of $\epsilon 4$ in the participants that remained in the study. As there was no significant change between the 6- to 18- month sessions, our data suggests that the most important change occurred between the baseline session and the 6-month session and would likely be detectable during a 6 or 12 months follow up visit in a clinical setting. No difference was observed in the NC or NI NINL sub-scores between non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ finishers or dropouts across time for, but there was a significant difference in the NL sub-score. Thus, the change of a familiar image to a novel location was difficult for the $\epsilon 4$

dropouts to identify. The addition of the spatial component increases the sensitivity of the NINL task over other object recognition tasks. Consistent with this, in our mouse studies novel location recognition is more sensitive to effects of age in C57BL6/J wild type female and male mice [33] and effects of castration in male mice expressing apoE4 [34]. Perhaps related to the difficulty of $\epsilon 4$ dropouts to identify a novel location change, in the baseline session, $\epsilon 4$ dropouts had significantly more incorrect 'NC' answers, thus inflating their NC scores. The $\epsilon 4$ dropouts might have more often used the NC sub-score as a default when they either did not notice a change or did not know the correct answer than the $\epsilon 4$ finishers.

In contrast to the differences between non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ dropouts and finishers in the NINL task, very little variation was observed in the Memory Island task. In the baseline study, there was an effect of $\epsilon 4$, which disappeared when the data was analyzed separately for dropouts and finishers. Furthermore, performance on the Memory Island task was not a predictor of dropouts of the study. In addition, no difference was observed between the non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ finishers in the MMSE scores at the baseline and 18-month sessions. The spatial navigation and computer use involved in the Memory Island, but not NINL, test might have contributed to this difference in test sensitivity.

Cortisol has been suggested to play a role in hippocampal atrophy [41]. In our initial study [6], cortisol correlated with cognitive performance in only the male study participants. As such, we hypothesized that cortisol would be a predictor of study dropout. However, unlike apoE genotype and NINL score, cortisol was not a predictor of study dropout. Therefore, while cortisol might be a predictor of cognitive performance on some tasks it cannot be assumed to be a predictor for overall cognitive decline.

Another hormone suggested to enhance cognitive function is testosterone [47-50], yet there are contradicting reports about testosterone's role in cognitive function and the potential role in cognitive impairment [45, 63-66]. In our study, testosterone levels did not correlate with performance on the object recognition test. In addition, there was no difference in testosterone levels of the dropout and finisher groups. The only observed differences in testosterone levels were in the baseline session between non- $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4$ participants. These data suggest that testosterone is not an indicator of cognitive performance on the NINL task.

Although neither cortisol nor testosterone had an effect on performance in the NINL test, over the course of the longitudinal study both hormones decreased in the finisher population. The decrease in cortisol levels occurred between the baseline and the 6 months sessions, but was not significantly changed between the 6- and 18-month sessions. This observation could be due to a decrease in anxiety levels as the participants were participating in the tests for the second time and were more familiar with the study design. In both male and female finishers, salivary testosterone levels were significantly lower in the 18-month than the baseline session, demonstrating an age-related decline in testosterone levels in the oldest-old population [67, 68]. However, no difference was observed in salivary testosterone levels of the finisher group between the baseline and 6-month session.

We began our study with 114 participants in the baseline session. Of the 114 participants, 26 were $\epsilon 4$ carriers, or 22.8% of the study population, which is a similar distribution of other studies of healthy elderly people [69-72]. We demonstrate that $\epsilon 4$ had a significant effect on dropout rate and that total NINL score was a predictor of whether participants would drop out of the study. There is a small possibility that the participants did not return to the study because they became ill or passed away. Alternatively, although test performance was not communicated to the study participants in any way, it is conceivable that the participants who performed poorly on the cognitive tasks were aware of their performance deficiencies and therefore did not return.

Within the group of $\epsilon 4$ participants, there were two distinct groups; participants who performed well on the NINL test and returned for all three sessions and participants who performed poorly and did not return for all three sessions. It is possible that the finishers were more familiar with the tests and this familiarity contributed to their test performance during follow up test sessions. Remarkable, the dropouts who participated in two study sessions had significantly lower scores in the second session, suggesting that if test familiarity contributed to test performance during follow up visits it did so differently in dropouts and finishers. In any event, these results suggest that the NINL test is sensitive to detect cognitive decline associated with the $\epsilon 4$ allele. Recent evidence supports the concept of distinct $\epsilon 4$ subgroups, based on the presence and amount of cognitive decline, when the cognitive decline occurs during AD, where brain atrophy is found, and the amount of brain atrophy [73-75]. Currently, it is not clear what causes the divergence, but there seem to be two likely possibilities; an interaction with a genetic factor besides apoE4 and the individual health and cognitive history.

In summary, in this study, participants with $\epsilon 4$ were more likely to drop out of the study than non- $\epsilon 4$ participants. Furthermore, $\epsilon 4$ participants with a low NINL score were more likely to drop out of the study than $\epsilon 4$ participants with high NINL score. Therefore, NINL could be a valuable tool to assess pre-clinical cognitive decline.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by EMF AG-NS-0201, the Medical Research Foundation of Oregon, a pilot project of the Layton Center for Aging and Alzheimer's disease, PHS grant 5 M01 RR000334 and NIA T32-AG023477.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mahley RW. Apolipoprotein E: cholesterol transport protein with expanding role in cell biology. *Science* 1988; 240: 622-30.
- [2] De Blasi S, Montesanto A, Martino C, Dato S, De Rango F, Bruni AC, *et al.* APOE polymorphism affects episodic memory among non demented elderly subjects. *Exp Gerontol* 2009; 44(3): 224-7.
- [3] Adamson MM, Landy KM, Duong S, Fox-Bosetti S, Ashford JW, Murphy GM, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E varepsilon4 influences on episodic recall and brain structures in aging pilots. *Neurobiol Aging* 2008; [Epub ahead of print].
- [4] Reynolds CA, Prince JA, Feuk L, Brookes AJ, Gatz M, Pedersen NL. Longitudinal memory performance during normal aging: twin association models of APOE and other Alzheimer candidate genes. *Behav Genet* 2006; 36(2): 185-94.

- [5] de Frias CM, Bunce D, Wahlin A, Adolffson R, Slegers K, Cruts M, *et al.* Cholesterol and triglycerides moderate the effect of apolipoprotein E on memory functioning in older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2007; 62(2): P112-8.
- [6] Bertheau-Pavy F, Park B, Raber J. Effects of sex and APOE $\epsilon 4$ on object recognition and spatial navigation in the elderly. *Neuroscience* 2007; 147: 6-17.
- [7] Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, Hyman B, Kukull WA, Mayeux R, *et al.* Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and Alzheimer Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. *JAMA* 1997; 278(16): 1349-56.
- [8] Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, Roses AD, *et al.* The apolipoprotein E $\epsilon 4$ allele and sex-specific risk of Alzheimer's disease. *JAMA* 1995; 273: 373-4.
- [9] Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, Small GW, *et al.* Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. *Science* 1993; 261: 921-3.
- [10] Roses AD. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer's disease: a rapidly expanding field with medical and epidemiological consequences. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1996; 802: 50-7.
- [11] Selkoe DK, Schenk D. Alzheimer's disease: molecular understanding predicts amyloid-based therapeutics. *Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2003; 43: 545-84.
- [12] Adak S, Illouz K, Gorman W, Tandon R, Zimmerman EA, Guariglia R, *et al.* Predicting the rate of cognitive decline in aging and early Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2004; 63(1): 108-14.
- [13] Howieson DB, Camicioli R, Quinn J, Silbert LC, Care B, Moore MM, *et al.* Natural history of cognitive decline in the old old. *Neurology* 2003; 60(9): 1489-94.
- [14] Brayne C, Harrington CR, Wischik CM, Huppert FA, Chi LY, Xuereb JH, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E genotype in the prediction of cognitive decline and dementia in a prospectively studied elderly population. *Dementia* 1996; 7(3): 169-74.
- [15] Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Wilson RS, Bienias JL, Berry-Kravis E, Arnold SE. Amyloid mediates the association of apolipoprotein E $\epsilon 4$ allele to cognitive function in older people. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005; 76(9): 1194-9.
- [16] Bennett DA, Wilson RS, Schneider JA, Evans DA, Aggarwal NT, Arnold SE, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E epsilon4 allele, AD pathology, and the clinical expression of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 2003; 60(2): 246-52.
- [17] Wilson RS, Schneider JA, Barnes LL, Beckett LA, Aggarwal NT, Cochran EJ, *et al.* The apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele and decline in different cognitive systems during a 6-year period. *Arch Neurol* 2002; 59(7): 1154-60.
- [18] Aggarwal NT, Wilson RS, Beck TL, Bienias JL, Berry-Kravis E, Bennett DA. The apolipoprotein E epsilon4 allele and incident Alzheimer's disease in persons with mild cognitive impairment. *Neurocase* 2005; 11(1): 3-7.
- [19] Lahiri DK, Sambamurti K, Bennett DA. Apolipoprotein gene and its interaction with the environmentally driven risk factors: molecular, genetic and epidemiological studies of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2004; 25(5): 651-60.
- [20] Bondi M, Salmon DP, Monsch AU, Galasko D, Butters N, Klauber MR, *et al.* Episodic memory changes are associated with the APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele in nondemented older adults. *Neurology* 1995; 45(12): 2203-6.
- [21] Storandt M, Botwick J, Danzinger W, Berg I, Hughes C. Psychometric differentiation of mild senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Arch Neurol* 1984; 41: 497-9.
- [22] Eslinger P, Damasio A, Benton A, Van Allen M. Neuropsychological detection of abnormal mental decline in older persons. *JAMA* 1985; 253: 670-4.
- [23] Knopman D, Ryberg S. A verbal memory test with high predictive accuracy for dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Arch Neurol* 1989; 46: 141-5.
- [24] Fuld P, Masur D, Blau A, Crystal H, Aronson M. Object-memory evaluation for prospective detection of dementia in normal functioning elderly: predictive and normative data. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 1990; 12: 520-8.
- [25] Flicker C, Ferris SH, Reisberg B. Mild cognitive impairment in the elderly. Predictors of dementia. *Neurology* 1991; 41: 1006-9.
- [26] Welsh K, Butters N, Hughes J, Mohs R, Heyman A. Detection of abnormal memory decline in mild cases of Alzheimer's disease using CERAD neuropsychological measures. *Arch Neurol* 1991; 48: 278-81.
- [27] Petersen R, Smith G, Ivnik R, Kokmen E, Tangalos E. Memory function in very early Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1994; 44: 867-72.
- [28] Jacobs D, Sano M, Dooneief G, Marder K, Bell K, Stern Y. Neuropsychological detection and characterization of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1995; 45: 957-62.
- [29] Caselli R, Graff-Radford N, Reiman E, Weaver A, Osborne D, Lucas J, *et al.* Preclinical memory decline in cognitive normal apolipoprotein E-epsilon 4 homozygotes. *Neurology* 1999; 53: 201-7.
- [30] Fox N, Warrington E, Seiffer A, Agnew S, Rossor M. Presymptomatic cognitive deficits in individuals at risk of familial Alzheimer's disease. A longitudinal prospective study. *Brain* 1998; 121: 1631-9.
- [31] Tierney M, Szalai J, Snow W, Fisher R, Nores A, Nadon G, *et al.* Prediction of probable Alzheimer's disease in memory impaired patients: a prospective longitudinal study. *Neurology* 1996; 46: 661-5.
- [32] Dere E, Kart-Teke E, Huston JP, De Souza Silva MA. The case for episodic memory in animals. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2006; 30(8): 1206-24.
- [33] Benice TS, Rizk A, Kohama S, Pfankuch T, Raber J. Sex-differences in age-related cognitive decline in C57BL/6J mice associated with increased brain microtubule-associated protein 2 and synaptophysin immunoreactivity. *Neuroscience* 2006; 137(2): 413-23.
- [34] Pfankuch T, Rizk A, Olsen R, Poage C, Raber J. Role of circulating androgen levels in effects of apoE4 on cognitive function. *Brain Res* 2005; 1053: 88-96.
- [35] Raber J, Bongers G, LeFevour A, Buttini M, Mucke L. Androgens protect against apolipoprotein E4-induced cognitive deficits. *J Neurosci* 2002; 22: 5204-9.
- [36] Rizk-Jackson A, Acevedo S, Inman D, Howieson D, Benice T, Raber J. Effects of sex on object recognition and spatial navigation in humans. *Behav Brain Res* 2006; 173: 181-90.
- [37] Magri F, Cravello L, Barili L, Sarra S, Cinchetti W, Salmoiraghi F, *et al.* Stress and dementia: the role of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2006; 18(2): 167-70.
- [38] MacLulich AM, Deary IJ, Starr JM, Fergusson KJ, Wardlaw JM, Seckl JR. Plasma cortisol levels, brain volumes and cognition in healthy elderly men. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2005; 30(5): 505-15.
- [39] Li G, Cherrier MM, Tsuang DW, Petrie EC, Colasurdo EA, Craft S, *et al.* Salivary cortisol and memory function in human aging. *Neurobiol Aging* 2006; 27(11): 1705-14.
- [40] Lupien SJ, Fiocco A, Wan N, Maheu F, Lord C, Schramek T, *et al.* Stress hormones and human memory function across the lifespan. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2005; 30(3): 225-42.
- [41] Lupien SJ, de Leon M, de Santi S, Convit A, Tarshish C, Nair NP, *et al.* Cortisol levels during human aging predict hippocampal atrophy and memory deficits. *Nat Neurosci* 1998; 1(1): 69-73.
- [42] Aisa B, Tordera R, Lasheras B, Del Rio J, Ramirez MJ. Cognitive impairment associated to HPA axis hyperactivity after maternal separation in rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2007; 32(3): 256-66.
- [43] Sapolsky R. *Stress, the aging brain, and the mechanisms of neuron death.* Cambridge: MIT Press 1992.
- [44] Konrad C, Engelen A, Schoning S, Zwitserlood P, Jansen A, Pletzig E, *et al.* The functional anatomy of semantic retrieval is influenced by gender, menstrual cycle, and sex hormones. *J Neural Transm* 2008; 115(9): 1327-37.
- [45] Yaffe K, Lui LY, Zmuda J, Cauley J. Sex hormones and cognitive function in older men. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002; 50(4): 707-12.
- [46] Barrett-Connor E, Goodman-Gruen D, Patay B. Endogenous sex hormones and cognitive function in older men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999; 84(10): 3681-5.
- [47] Janowsky JS, Chavez B, Orwoll E. Sex steroids modify working memory. *J Cogn Neurosci* 2000; 12(3): 407-14.
- [48] Janowsky JS, Oviatt SK, Orwoll ES. Testosterone influences spatial cognition in older men. *Behav Neurosci* 1994; 108(2): 325-32.
- [49] Cherrier MM, Asthana S, Plymate S, Baker L, Matsumoto AM, Peskind E, *et al.* Testosterone supplementation improves spatial and verbal memory in healthy older men. *Neurology* 2001; 57(1): 80-8.

- [50] Cherrier MM, Matsumoto AM, Amory JK, Ahmed S, Bremner W, Peskind ER, *et al.* The role of aromatization in testosterone supplementation: effects on cognition in older men. *Neurology* 2005; 64(2): 290-6.
- [51] Acevedo SF, Tittle S, Raber J. Transgenic expression of androgen receptors improves spatial memory retention in both sham-irradiated and ^{137}Cs gamma-irradiated female mice. *Radiat Res* 2008; 170(5): 572-8.
- [52] Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-mental state: A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975; 12: 189-98.
- [53] Wechsler D. WMS-III administration and scoring manual. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation 1997.
- [54] Beck AT, Epstein N, Brown G, Steer RA. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1988; 65: 893-7.
- [55] Jastak S, Wilkinson G. The wide range achievement test, revised. Wilmington, DE: Jastak Associates 1994.
- [56] Wood AM, White IR, Hillsdon M, Carpenter J. Comparison of imputation and modelling methods in the analysis of a physical activity trial with missing outcomes. *Int J Epidemiol* 2005; 34(1): 89-99.
- [57] Caselli RJ, Dueck AC, Osborne D, Sabbagh MN, Connor DJ, Ahern GL, *et al.* Longitudinal modeling of age-related memory decline and the APOE epsilon4 effect. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 361(3): 255-63.
- [58] Baxter LC, Caselli RJ, Johnson SC, Reiman E, Osborne D. Apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 affects new learning in cognitively normal individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2003; 24(7): 947-52.
- [59] Caselli RJ, Reiman EM, Osborne D, Hentz JG, Baxter LC, Hernandez JL, *et al.* Longitudinal changes in cognition and behavior in asymptomatic carriers of the APOE $\epsilon 4$ allele. *Neurology* 2004; 62(11): 1990-5.
- [60] Caselli RJ, Chen K, Lee W, Alexander GE, Reiman EM. Correlating cerebral hypometabolism with future memory decline in subsequent converters to amnesic pre-mild cognitive impairment. *Arch Neurol* 2008; 65(9): 1231-6.
- [61] Welsh-Bohmer KA, Ostbye T, Sanders L, Pieper CF, Hayden KM, Tschanz JT, *et al.* Neuropsychological performance in advanced age: influences of demographic factors and Apolipoprotein E: findings from the Cache County Memory Study. *Clin Neuropsychol* 2009; 23(1): 77-99.
- [62] Luciano M, Gow AJ, Taylor MD, Hayward C, Harris SE, Campbell H, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E is not related to memory abilities at 70 years of age. *Behav Genet* 2009; 39(1): 6-14.
- [63] Muller M, Schupf N, Manly JJ, Mayeux R, Luchsinger JA. Sex hormone binding globulin and incident Alzheimer's disease in elderly men and women. *Neurobiol Aging* 2008; [Epub ahead of print].
- [64] Hogervorst E, Bandelow S, Combrinck M, Smith AD. Low free testosterone is an independent risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. *Exp Gerontol* 2004; 39(11-12): 1633-9.
- [65] Hogervorst E, Combrinck M, Smith AD. Testosterone and gonadotropin levels in men with dementia. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* 2003; 24(3-4): 203-8.
- [66] Viega S, Melcangi RC, DonCarlos LL, Garcia-Segura LM, Azcoitia I. Sex hormones and brain aging. *Exp Gerontol* 2004; 39: 1623-31.
- [67] Yeap BB. Testosterone and ill-health in aging men. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab* 2009; 5(2): 113-21.
- [68] Brown M. Skeletal muscle and bone: effect of sex steroids and aging. *Adv Physiol Educ* 2008; 32(2): 120-6.
- [69] Scuteri A, Najjar SS, Muller D, Andres R, Morrell CH, Zonderman AB, *et al.* apoE4 allele and the natural history of cardiovascular risk factors. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2005; 289(2): E322-7.
- [70] Dick IM, Devine A, Marangou A, Dhaliwal SS, Laws S, Martins RN, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E4 is associated with reduced calcaneal quantitative ultrasound measurements and bone mineral density in elderly women. *Bone* 2002; 31(4): 497-502.
- [71] Eggertsen G, Heimburger O, Stenvinkel P, Berglund L. Influence of variation at the apolipoprotein E locus on lipid and lipoprotein levels in CAPD patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1997; 12(1): 141-4.
- [72] Eggertsen G, Tegelman R, Ericsson S, Angelin B, Berglund L. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in a healthy Swedish population: variation of allele frequency with age and relation to serum lipid concentrations. *Clin Chem* 1993; 39(10): 2125-9.
- [73] van der Vlies AE, Koedam EL, Pijnenburg YA, Twisk JW, Scheltens P, van der Flier WM. Most rapid cognitive decline in APOE epsilon4 negative Alzheimer's disease with early onset. *Psychol Med* 2009; 1-5.
- [74] Sluimer JD, van der Flier WM, Karas GB, Fox NC, Scheltens P, Barkhof F, *et al.* Whole-brain atrophy rate and cognitive decline: longitudinal MR study of memory clinic patients. *Radiology* 2008; 248(2): 590-8.
- [75] Sluimer JD, Vrenken H, Blankenstein MA, Fox NC, Scheltens P, Barkhof F, *et al.* Whole-brain atrophy rate in Alzheimer disease: identifying fast progressors. *Neurology* 2008; 70(19 Pt 2): 1836-41.